Open House Public Hearing

Environmental Assessment for the Marquette Hospital Transportation Improvements Project

Private Comments Session

Date: July 27, 2016

STATE OF MICHIGAN
DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
and

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

In the Matter of:

Environmental Assessment for the Marquette Hospital Transportation Improvements Project

OPEN HOUSE PUBLIC HEARING PRIVATE COMMENTS SESSION

300 West Baraga Avenue, Marquette, Michigan

Wednesday, July 27, 2016, 5:00-8:00 p.m.

REPORTED BY:

RUTKOWSKI COURT REPORTING, LLC Natalia Rutkowski, CSR #9088 Certified Shorthand Reporter Registered Professional Reporter 2562 Huron Street Marquette, Michigan 49855 (906) 250-1462

* * * * *

- 1			
1		TABLE OF CONTENTS	
2	PRIVATE COMMENTS		PAGE
3	ENIVALE COMMENTS		FAGE
4	WALTER ANDERSON	87	3
5	DAVID BOYD		5
6		* * * * *	
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25		D= 2	
		Page 2	

1 (At 5:00 p.m., private comments session began) 2 (At 5:20 p.m., Mr. Anderson gave comment) 3 Name: Walter Anderson Address: 430 North Lakeshore Boulevard 5 Marquette, Michigan 49855 6 Phone: (906) 228-5853 7 MR. ANDERSON: I went to the first forum at 8 Lakeview Arena last year, I'll say, and saw all the 9 different plans, and know that one was approved, but 10 wasn't quite dialed in exactly which plan it was. understood the roundabout that goes into the new hospital 11 12 and the mini roundabout that was on Baraga Avenue, we'll call it. 13 14 My concern was how Grove Street and 7th Street 15 and 41 was going to be handled, and what that was going 16 to look like compared to the bridge that I saw that was 17 there, and I think the roundabout is a thousand times 18 better than the bridge. 19 I have a friend that I watch after that lives 20 at Norlite Nursing Home, and my question was going to be, 21 if they put a bridge in there, how am I going to get 22 there now? And all of the thoughts that went through my 23 mind weren't good as far as running through back streets, 24 I'll call it, and/or having to put a cul-de-sac there at 25 the end, and going down and turning around and going back

Page 3

out to McClellan.

So from my standpoint, the Grove Street/7th Street/41 bypass intersection was a terrible intersection from day one, and this seems to have really taken the bad, bad intersection away totally, and in my mind has made it much safer for everybody going through that intersection now. Because I know there's been fatalities there that shouldn't have been because of the light and people not looking or paying attention, and this way, even if they don't pay attention, it's not going to be a bad wreck; you know, it will be a much gentler one than they used to be.

So I see it as a real positive for the people that live in the area, for somebody like myself that goes into that area on a regular basis, not having to alter my, you know, routes or go out of my way to do something that simple, and it just will make it so much easier to go through there.

So I think it's a real win for we, the people that use that intersection on a regular basis and for everybody that goes through there, because you're not going to have to stop anymore most of the time, and it will just be easy to get to where you want to go.

Thank you.

(At 5:23 p.m., Mr. Anderson concluded comment)

Page 4

1 (At 7:38 p.m., Mr. Boyd began comment) 2 Name: David Boyd 3 Address: 328 East Michigan Street 4 Marguette, Michigan 49855 (906) 225-0621 5 Phone: 6 My concern was with the pedestrian refuge 7 arrangement on the proposed roundabouts, which, 8 considering that people would be trying to cross US-41 9 and M-28, very heavily-traveled highways, and must cross at least two lanes to get to these refuge areas, which 10 are located in the middle of the median or the central 11 12 part of the roadway, but then they have to continue on to cross another two. 13 14 The purpose of the refuge areas is to give a 15 person a safe place while they consider navigating this 16 traffic; that is concerning to me because pedestrians and 17 considering individuals may have mobility problems and 18 may not be able to move out of the way of a vehicle. I 19 mean, they could well be very limited in the speed with 20 which they move. 21 And there is no planned intervention or 22 traffic-calming mechanism to really slow these vehicles 23 down to a safer limit. The main purpose of the 24 roundabout is to promote the flow of traffic at the 25 highest possible vehicle-permitted rate, and the two just

Page 5

don't come together very good.

You've got perhaps a mom with a stroller versus -- let's pick on teenagers -- an impatient teenager who has already been slowed down and either may be distracted or for a million reasons. It comes to possibly a bad meeting, in my opinion.

So I would -- I want to encourage the people this evening to try to consider arrangements of some kind or another that would have a greater effect on the speed with which people would move through -- the vehicles would move through the roundabout given pedestrians in the crosswalk.

Thank you.

(At 7:42 p.m., Mr. Boyd concluded comment)
(At 8:00 p.m., private comments session concluded)

Page 6

CERTIFICATION I certify that this transcript, consisting of seven (7) pages, is a complete, true, and correct record of the private comments at the open house public hearing taken in this matter on July 27, 2016. Date: August 8, 2016 BUTKOWSKI COURT REPORTING, LLC Natalia Rutkowski, CSR #9088 Certified Shorthand Reporter Registered Professional Reporter 2562 Huron Street Marquette, Michigan 49855 (906) 250-1462